Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Immontonos
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	15 x 2.5 Gy	20 x 2 Gy	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Mobility- I	Regain walking a	bility										
1	observational studies	very serious ^{1,2}	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	22/90 (24.4%)	(30.3%)	RR 0.81 (0.49 to 1.33)	5.82 fewer per 100 (from 19.44 fewer to 7.8 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Bospons	uration -in field	rocurronoo						0%		-	LOVV	
1	observational studies	very serious ^{1,2}	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	10/90 (11.1%)		RR 0.70 (0.32 to 1.54)	4.68 fewer per 100 (from 15.14 fewer to 5.78 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Pain												
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Toxicity												
0	No evidence available					none	-	-	-	-		CRITICAL
Progressi	on free survival											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT
Bladder fu	ınction											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT

¹ Retrospective data collection

² no blinding reported

³ low number of patients and the confidence interval crossed the clinical decision threshold between the two courses radiotherapy