Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Single dose RT 8	6x 4 Gy	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	_	Importance
Pain (assess	sed with: responders	s)		•	*				•		•	
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	Serious <sup>2</sup>	none	-	- 0%	-	-	LOW	CRITICAL
Mobility	<u>'</u>				·		'	!	'		,	
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	_	-	-	CRITICAL
Respons du	ration		<u>'</u>		<u>'</u>							
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	- -		CRITICAL
Neurologica	Il respons		<u>'</u>	1	<u>'</u>							
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Toxicity	•	<u>'</u>					'		•		,	
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	1 1		CRITICAL
Progression	Free survival											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT
Bladder fun	ction											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No blinding reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> low number of patients and the confidence interval crossed the clinical decision threshold between the single dose Radiotherapy and 6x 4 Gy Radiotherapy