# 1 Bijlage Evidence tabellen en GRADE profielen

## Onderzoeksvraag 1

Wat is het effect van antibiotica, verbandmaterialen en wondreiniging op geuroverlast bij patiënten met een oncologische ulcus in de palliatieve fase?

#### Onderzoeksvraag

Р	Patiënten (≥ 18 jaar) met geuroverlast door oncologische ulcera in de palliatieve fase
I	Antibiotica, verbandmaterialen, wondreiniging
С	Andere interventie, geen interventie, placebo
0	Geur, kwaliteit van leven

## Systematische reviews: Evidence tabel

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Adderley 2014	Design: systematic review Funding: National Institue for health Research; Col: none Search date: Aug 2013 Databases: Cochrane Wounds Group Specialised Register, Central, Medline, Embase, Cinahl Study designs: RCT's, CCTs N included studies: N=4	Eligibility criteria: people of any age, male and female, in any care setting, who had been clinically diagnosed with fungating wounds due to any type of carcinoma	Topical agents and dressings, or dressing systems, applied to fungating wounds	Odour:  Bower 1992: VAS 0-10, graded daily by patient and 1 investigator; in the placebo group (N=5), the mean patient and medical staff odour assessment remained above 6/10 (the minimum severity required for inclusion in the study); in the metronidazole group (N=4) the mean patient odour assessment fell from 7.8 on day 0, to 5.0 on day 6 (p>0.1), and the mean medical staff odour assessment fell from 6.5 on day 0, to 4.3 on day 6 (p>0.1); no statistically significant difference between the two groups Kalemikerakis 2012: categorical, graded weekly for 4 weeks by health professionals; in the final assessment (week 4), in the group who received foam with silver, a decrease of malodour was reported in 10 patients (76.9%) while in 3 patients (23.1%)	Review process by two independent reviewers No search restrictions Relevant included studies: Bower 1992, Kalemikerakis 2012, Lund-Nielsen 2011

Richtlijn Oncologische Ulcera - 10-09-2024

da Costa Santos 2010	Design: systematic review Funding: not reported; Col: not reported Search date: Aug 2006 Databases: Thesis Bank, Capes and Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations, Proquest Dissertation and Theses, Current Controlled Trials, BDENF, CINAHL, Embase, PubMed, Ovid, PsycInfo, Scopus, and Web of Science, Lilacs, EBM Reviews Study designs: all N included studies:	Eligibility criteria: individuals with malignant neoplasms who developed malignant fungating wounds	Topical treatments	malodour stayed the same; odour did not increase in any patients; in the group who received the foam dressings without silver, decrease of malodour was reported in 4 patients (30.8%) while in 9 (69.2%) malodour stayed the same; odour did not increase in any patients; the difference in odour reduction between the two groups was statistically significant (p=0.049)  Lund-Nielsen 2011: VRS and VAS; no statistically significant difference was found between the patients treated with honey-coated dressings and those treated with silver-coated dressings  Quality of life: not reported  No separate results reported for Bower 1992 and Upright 1994	Unclear if review process was done by independent reviewers Unclear if restrictions were used Relevant included studies: Bower 1992, Upright 1994
Finlayson 2017	N=20 Design: systematic review Funding: not reported; Col: none Search date: Sep 2015 Databases: Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library, Cinahl Study designs: RCT's, pre/post studies	Eligibility criteria: participants who were diagnosed with cancer and a malignant wound (fungating, infiltrative, ulcerating) not related to surgery or radiation therapy Exclusion: systematic reviews, clinical guidelines, case series, and case reports	Topical analgesics with or without additional inert substances for the management of pain and/or topical antimicrobials with or without additional odour-reducing topical agents for the prevention or	Odour: Bower 1992: no significant difference in odour between groups Lian 2014: no significant difference in odour between groups Lund-Nielsen 2011: no significant difference in malodour between groups	Review process by two independent reviewers Restricted to English Relevant included studies: Bower 1992, Lian 2014, Lund-Nielsen 2011, Upright 1994

	Ni in alcode al atrodica.	I		Hariaht 4004, significant in agent in adam	
	N included studies: N=5		management of infection and	Upright 1994: significant increase in odour control in the intervention group	
	N=5		infection-related	compared with the control group	
			odours	Quality of life: not reported	
Cathin 2022	Decign, quatemetic	Fligibility oritoria, adulta (10			Deview present by two independent reviewers
Gethin 2023	Design: systematic review Funding: Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) and B. Braun Hospicare Ltd., European Regional Development Fund under Grant Number 13/RC/2073; Col: none Search date: unclear Databases: EMBASE, Ovid MEDLINE, CINAHL, CENTRAL, PubMed, Web of Science and Scopus Study designs: RCT's N included studies: N=5	Eligibility criteria: adults (18 years and over) with chronic wounds including venous, arterial, mixed arterial venous, diabetic or pressure ulcers or those with malignant fungating wounds  Exclusion: people solely with burns, acute wounds, surgical wounds or atypical wounds	Topical interventions	Odour: Bower 1992: mean patient and medical- staff odour assessment in the placebo group remained above 6; in contrast, in the treatment group, the mean patient odour assessment fell from 7.8 on day zero to 5.0 on day six and odour as graded by medical staff fell from a mean of 6.5 to 4.3 on day six; both findings were non-significant Kalemikerakis 2012: difference in odour reduction (yes/no) between the two groups was borderline statistically significant (p=0.049) Lian 2014: no significant difference in the improvement of odour between the groups Villela-Castro 2018: no significant differences in odour between metronidazole and polyhexanide gel at any stage of the study Quality of life: Lian 2014: self-developed five-point questionnaire; no statistical significant improvement when compared between the two groups Villela-Castro 2018: Ferrans and Powers Quality of Life Index – Wounds Version; no significant differences between individuals who received treatment of topical metronidazole compared to polyhexanide gel	Review process by two independent reviewers Unclear if search restrictions were used Relevant included studies: Bower 1992, Kalemikerakis 2012, Lian 2014, Villela-Castro 2018
Ramasubbu 2017	Design: systematic review Funding: National Institute for Health Research; Col: none Search date: Mar 2017 Databases: Cochrane Wounds Specialised Register, CENTRAL, Medline, Embase, Cinahl	Eligibility criteria: people of any age with a clinically diagnosed malignant wound resulting from any type of cancer	Any systemic antibiotic used in the treatment of any type of malignant wound	Odour: mean smell score (0-3), MD -2.16, 95%Cl -3.6 to -0.72 Quality of life: not reported	Review process by two independent reviewers No search restrictions Relevant included studies: Ashford 1984

	Study designs: RCT's N included studies: N=1				
Wiese 2023	Design: systematic review Funding: not reported; Col: none Search date: June 2018 Databases: PubMed, Cinahl, Embase, CENTRAL, PsycInfo Study designs: RCT's N included studies: N=7	Eligibility criteria: cancer patients and former cancer patients Exclusion: patients with precancerous lesions or carcinoma in situ; primary prevention; preclinical studies	Green tea and green tea extract	Odour: Lian 2014: no significant difference in the improvement of odour between the groups Quality of life: Lian 2014: self-developed five-point questionnaire; no statistical significant improvement when compared between the two groups, except for interference of odour with social activities (p=0.04)	Review process by two independent reviewers Restricted to English and German Relevant included studies: Lian 2014

Abbreviations: 95%CI: 95% confidence interval; CoI: conflict of interest; MD: mean difference; RCT: randomised controlled trial.

#### References

Adderley UJ, Holt IG. Topical agents and dressings for fungating wounds. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014(5):CD003948.

Ashford R, Plant G, Maher J, Teare L. Double-blind trial of metronidazole in malodorous ulcerating tumours. Lancet. 1984;1(8388):1232-3.

Bower M, Stein R, Evans TRJ, Hedley A, Pert P, Coombes RC. A double-blind study of the efficacy of metronidazole gel in the treatment of malodorous fungating tumours. European Journal of Cancer Part A: General Topics. 1992;28(4-5):888-9.

da Costa Santos CM, de Mattos Pimenta CA, Nobre MR. A systematic review of topical treatments to control the odor of malignant fungating wounds. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2010;39(6):1065-76.

Finlayson K, Teleni L, McCarthy AL. Topical Opioids and Antimicrobials for the Management of Pain, Infection, and Infection-Related Odors in Malignant Wounds: A Systematic Review. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2017;44(5):626-32.

Gethin G, Vellinga A, McIntosh C, Sezgin D, Probst S, Murphy L, et al. Systematic review of topical interventions for the management of odour in patients with chronic or malignant fungating wounds. J Tissue Viability. 2023;32(1):151-7.

Kalemikerakis J, Vardaki Z, Fouka G, Vlachou E, Gkovina U, Kosma E, et al. Comparison of foam dressings with silver versus foam dressings without silver in the care of malodorous malignant fungating wounds. J. 2012;17(3):560-4.

Lian SB, Xu Y, Goh SL, Aw FC. Comparing the effectiveness of green tea versus topical metronidazole powder in malodorous control of fungating malignant wounds in a controlled randomised study. Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare. 2014;23(1):3-12.

Lund-Nielsen B, Adamsen L, Kolmos HJ, Rorth M, Tolver A, Gottrup F. The effect of honey-coated bandages compared with silver-coated bandages on treatment of malignant wounds - a randomized study. Wound Repair and Regeneration 2011;19(6):664-70.

Ramasubbu DA, Smith V, Hayden F, Cronin P. Systemic antibiotics for treating malignant wounds. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;8:CD011609.

Upright CA, Salton C, Roberts F, Murphy J. Evaluation of Mesalt dressings and continuous wet saline dressings in ulcerating metastatic skin lesions. Cancer Nurs. 1994;17(2):149-55.

Villela-Castro DL, Santos V, Woo K. Polyhexanide Versus Metronidazole for Odor Management in Malignant (Fungating) Wounds: A Double-Blinded, Randomized, Clinical Trial. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2018;45(5):413-8.

Wiese F, Kutschan S, Doerfler J, Mathies V, Buentzel J, et al. Green tea and green tea extract in oncological treatment: A systematic review. Int J Vitam Nutr Res. 2023;93(1):72-84.

#### GRADE tabellen – Geïncludeerde studies

**Reference:** Kalemikerakis J, Vardaki Z, Fouka G, Vlachou E, Gkovina U, Kosma E, et al. Comparison of foam dressings with silver versus foam dressings without silver in the care of malodorous malignant fungating wounds. J. 2012;17(3):560-4.

Question: Foam dressing containing silver compared to foam dressing without silver in patients with malodorous fungating malignant wounds

#### Setting:

#### Bibliography:

Certainty	Certainty assessment							№ of patients Effect			Certainty	Importance	
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	foam dressing containing silver	foam dressing without silver	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)			
Odour (c	ategorical)												
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>b</sup>	none	10/13 (76.9%)	4/13 (30.8%)	RR 2.50 (1.05 to 5.96)	462 more per 1.000 (from 15 more to 1.000 more)	⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL	
Quality o	Quality of life - not measured												
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL	

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

- a. Kalemikerakis 2012: unclear randomisation method, allocation concealment and blinding
- b. CI around RR includes 1.25

**Author(s):** Lian SB, Xu Y, Goh SL, Aw FC. Comparing the effectiveness of green tea versus topical metronidazole powder in malodorous control of fungating malignant wounds in a controlled randomised study. Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare. 2014;23(1):3-12.

Question: Green tea dressing compared to metronidazole powder in cancer patients with malodorous fungating wounds

Setting:

Certainty	ainty assessment							nts	Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	green tea dressing	metronidazole powder	Relative Absolute (95% CI) (95% CI)			
Malodoro	ous score rated	by patient	s using VNS (0 to	10) on day 7								
1	randomised serious <sup>a</sup> not serious not serious serious <sup>b</sup> none Median [min-max]: 1 [0-4] vs. 0 [0-4], p=0.29											CRITICAL
Malodorous score rated by assigned nurses using VNS (0 to 10) on day 7												L
1	randomised trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>b</sup>	none	Median [min-max]: 1 [0-4] vs. 0 [0-4], p=0.12				⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL
Interferer	nce of malodou	ır with life o	over last week									
1	randomised trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	serious	none	·	n rank 17.17 vs. 13 n rank 16.27 vs. 14	·		⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL
Interferer	ıce of malodoเ	ır with level	l of physical comf	ort over last we	ek		<u> </u>					
1	randomised trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	none	Day 1: mean rank 17.17 vs. 13.83, p=0.30  Day 7: mean rank 16.70 vs. 14.30, p=0.41				⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL

1	randomised trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	serious	none	Day 1: mean rank 15.27 vs. 15.73, p=0.88  Day 7: mean rank 17.27 vs. 13.73, p=0.22	⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL					
Interferer	Interference of malodour with social activities over last week													
1	randomised trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	none	Day 1: mean rank 18.73 vs. 12.27, p=0.04  Day 7: mean rank 17.13 vs. 13.87 p=0.28	⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL					

CI: confidence interval

#### **Explanations**

- a. Lian 2014: no blinding
- b. CI around estimated SMD includes 0.5
- c. Small sample size

**Author(s):** Lund-Nielsen B, Adamsen L, Kolmos HJ, Rorth M, Tolver A, Gottrup F. The effect of honey-coated bandages compared with silver-coated bandages on treatment of malignant wounds - a randomized study. Wound Repair and Regeneration 2011;19(6):664-70.

Question: Manuka honey-coated dressings compared to silver-coated dressings in patients with advanced stage cancer and malignant wounds

Setting:

Certainty	Certainty assessment						№ of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	manuka honey- coated dressings	ey- coated ( ed dressings		Absolute (95% CI)		
Malodour	•											
1	randomised trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>b</sup>	none	VRS: no significant difference between groups, p=0.862				⊕○○○ Very low	CRITICAL

VAS 0-10: no significant difference between groups, p= 0.551													
Quality of	Quality of life - not measured												
CRITIC									CRITICAL				

CI: confidence interval

## **Explanations**

a. Lund-Nielsen 2011: unclear allocation concealment and blinding

b. No quantification of precision possible

**Author(s):** Upright CA, Salton C, Roberts F, Murphy J. Evaluation of Mesalt dressings and continuous wet saline dressings in ulcerating metastatic skin lesions. Cancer Nurs. 1994;17(2):149-55.

Question: Mesalt dressings compared to continuous wet saline dressings in patients with ulcerating metastatic skin lesions

Setting:

#### Bibliography:

Certainty	assessment						№ of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Mesalt dressings	continuous wet saline dressings	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)				
Control o	of odor (VAS, 10	) cm)												
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>b</sup>	none	7.48 vs. 3.69 cm, p<0.05				⊕○○○ Very low	CRITICAL		
Quality o	Quality of life - not reported													
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL		

CI: confidence interval

- a. Upright 1994: unclear randomisation method and allocation concealment, no ITT analysis
- b. Very small sample size, OIS not reached

**Author(s):** Bower M, Stein R, Evans TRJ, Hedley A, Pert P, Coombes RC. A double-blind study of the efficacy of metronidazole gel in the treatment of malodorous fungating tumours. European Journal of Cancer Part A: General Topics. 1992;28(4-5):888-9.

Question: Metronidazole gel compared to placebo in patients with malodorous open fungating primary or metastatic tumours

Setting:

#### Bibliography:

Certaint	y assessmei	nt				№ of patients Effect			Certainty	Importance		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	metronidazole placebo Relative Absolute (95% CI) (95% CI)				-	
Odour (V	AS 0-10)		I.									
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>b</sup>	none	Mean patient and a placebo group rem treatment group, the from 7.8 on day zee graded by medical day six; both finding	nained above ne mean pati ero to 5.0 on staff fell fror	⊕⊖⊖⊖ Very low	CRITICAL		
Quality of	life - not meas	ured	1		1		1				1	1
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL

CI: confidence interval

- a. Bower 1992: unclear randomisation method, allocation concealment and blinding
- b. Very small sample size

Author(s): Ashford R, Plant G, Maher J, Teare L. Double-blind trial of metronidazole in malodorous ulcerating tumours. Lancet. 1984;1(8388):1232-3.

Question: Systemic metronidazole compared to placebo in patients with malignant wounds

Setting:

#### Bibliography:

Certainty	ertainty assessment								Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	systemic metronidazole	placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
Malodour: mean smell score												
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>b</sup>	none	6	6	-	MD 2.16 lower (3.6 lower to 0.72 lower)	⊕○○○ Very low	CRITICAL
Quality o	Quality of life - not measured											
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL

CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference

#### **Explanations**

a. Ashford 1984: unclear randomisation method and allocation concealment, no ITT analysis

b. Very small sample size (6 participants)

Author(s): Villela-Castro DL, Santos V, Woo K. Polyhexanide Versus Metronidazole for Odor Management in Malignant (Fungating) Wounds: A Double-Blinded, Randomized, Clinical Trial. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2018;45(5):413-8.

Question: Topical metronidazole 0.8% solution compared to topical polyhexanide 0.1% solution in patients with malignant (fungating) wounds

Setting:

Certainty	/ assessment						№ of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	topical metronidazole 0.8% solution	topical polyhexanide 0.1% solution	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
Odour qu	uality, rated by	y patient o	n day 4									
1	randomised trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>b</sup>	none	12	12	-	MD 0.41 lower (1.1 lower to 0.28 higher)	⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL
Odour in	pact, rated by	patient o	n day 4							1		1
1	randomised trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>c</sup>	none	12	12	-	MD 0.41 higher (0.68 lower to 1.5 higher)	⊕○○○ Very low	CRITICAL
Ferrans a	and Powers Q	uality of Li	fe Index									

1	randomised	seriousa	not serious	not serious	very	none	12	12	-	MD 0.01	$\Theta$	CRITICAL
	trials				seriousc					higher	Very low	
										(1.38		
										lower to		
										1.4		
										higher)		

CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference

- a. Villela-Castro 2018: unclear allocation concealment and ITT analysis
- b. CI around estimated SMD includes -0.50
- c. CI around estimated SMD includes -0.50 and 0.50

### Onderzoeksvraag 2

Wat is het effect van verbandmateriaal, radiotherapie, tranexaminezuur, xylometazoline, adrenaline, embolisatie, elektrocoagulatie, of zilvernitraat op bloedverlies bij patiënten met een oncologische ulcus in de palliatieve fase?

## Onderzoeksvraag

	Р	Patiënten (≥ 18 jaar) met acute of chronische bloeding bij oncologische ulcera in de palliatieve fase
		Verbandmateriaal, radiotherapie, tranexaminezuur, xylometazoline, adrenaline, embolisatie, elektrocoagulatie, zilvernitraat
-	O	Andere interventie, geen interventie, placebo
(	0	Bloedverlies, kwaliteit van leven

## Systematische reviews

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Adderley 2014	Design: systematic review Funding: National Institue for health Research; Col: none Search date: Aug 2013 Databases: Cochrane Wounds Group Specialised Register, Central, Medline, Embase, Cinahl Study designs: RCT's, CCTs N included studies: N=4	Eligibility criteria: people of any age, male and female, in any care setting, who had been clinically diagnosed with fungating wounds due to any type of carcinoma	Topical agents and dressings, or dressing systems, applied to fungating wounds	No relevant studies identified	Review process by two independent reviewers No search restrictions Relevant included studies: none
Firmino 2021	Design: systematic review Funding: University of Sao Paulo - School of Nursing, Sao Paulo, Brazil; Col: none Search date: Apr 2020 Databases: PubMed, Cochrane, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL, Virtual Library of Salud portal Study designs: all N included studies: N=6	Eligibility criteria: primary studies that investigated treatments, interventions, or any topical measures for the control of bleeding from breast malignant fungating wounds in adults  Exclusion: local measures such as surgery, radiotherapy, chemoembolization, and electrochemotherapy	Topical treatment	No relevant studies identified	Review process by two independent reviewers Restricted to Portuguese, English and Spanish literature Relevant included studies: none

## Primaire studies

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Firmino 2023	Design: RCT Funding: Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (award no. 001), School of Nursing of the University of São Paulo (Escola de Enfermagem da Universidade de São Paulo) and the National Cancer Institute/Ministry of Health (Instituto Nacional de Câncer/Ministério da Saúde) of Brazil; Col: none Setting: 2 hospitals of the National Cancer Institute (Instituto Nacional do Cancer [INCA]) in Brazil Sample size: N=28 Duration: recruitment Oct 2017 – Aug 2018	Eligibility criteria: patients older than 18 years, with a malignant breast cancer wound at any stage, with bleeding, who accepted venipuncture for blood collection  Exclusion criteria: patients with bleeding that seemingly came from arterial hemorrhages, patients with bleeding that made it impossible to identify its exact origin (affecting the process of application and evaluation of results of planned hemostatic interventions), patients with topical hemostatics that adhered to the wound bed, unconscious patients, patients with no family members present, patients with associated hematologic diseases, patients who were consciously allergic to the topical products used in the study, and patients who participated in the study, in previous episodes of bleeding A priori patient characteristics: M/F: 0/28 Mean age: 57.7y	Calcium alginate (N=13)  vs.  Oxidized regenerated cellulose (N=15)	Blood loss: Total time for hemostasis: mean (or median?), 30.4 sec (95%Cl 21.7-) vs. 30.1 sec (95%Cl 18.6-189), p=0.894 Proportion of patients achieving hemostasis: 30 sec: 46.1% vs. 50% 3 min: 92.2% vs. 85.7% 5 min: 92.2% vs. 85.7% 10 min: 100% vs. 92.8% Proportion of patients requiring >1 unit of hemostatic product due to bleeding recurrence: 15.3% vs. 33.3% Mean number of units of hemostatic product consumed: 1.2 vs. 2.6 units Quality of life: not reported	Randomization was prepared by a statistician using envelopes to be raffled; each envelope was sequentially numbered and identified by bleeding intensity, generating 3 blocks (strata) of patient allocation (mild, moderate, and severe bleeding)  The assistant researcher generated a randomized allocation sequence and assigned interventions to the study participants  Open label study  Unclear ITT analysis  Several data seem to be reported incorrectly

Abbreviations: 95%CI: 95% confidence interval; CCT: controlled clinical trial; CoI: conflict of interest; ITT: intention to treat; RCT: randomised controlled trial.

#### References

Adderley UJ, Holt IGS. Topical agents and dressings for fungating wounds. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;2014(5).

Richtlijn Oncologische Ulcera – 10-09-2024

Firmino F, Villela-Castro D, Santos V. Oxidized Regenerated Cellulose Versus Calcium Alginate in Controlling Bleeding From Malignant Wounds: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Cancer Nurs. 2023;13:13.

Firmino F, Villela-Castro DL, Santos JD, Conceicao de Gouveia Santos VL. Topical Management of Bleeding From Malignant Wounds Caused by Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2021;61(6):1278-86.

#### **GRADE** tabellen – Geïncludeerde studies

**Author(s):** Firmino F, Villela-Castro D, Santos V. Oxidized Regenerated Cellulose Versus Calcium Alginate in Controlling Bleeding From Malignant Wounds: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Cancer Nurs. 2023;13:13.

Question: Calcium alginate compared to oxidised regenerated cellulose in patients with a malignant breast cancer wound

Setting:

Certainty	assessment						№ of patie	ents	Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	calcium alginate	oxidised regenerated cellulose	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
Total tim	e for hemostas	is		1								
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>b</sup>	none	,	nedian?): 30.4 se Cl 18.6-189), p=0.	•	7-) vs. 30.1	⊕○○○ Very low	CRITICAL
Proportion	on of patients a	chieving he	emostasis at 30 se	ec	1	1					1	1
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>c</sup>	none	Calcium alginate: N=3, 46.1%  Oxidized regenerated cellulose: N=7, 50%				⊕○○○ Very low	CRITICAL
Proportion	on of patients a	chieving he	emostasis at 3 mir	1								
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>d</sup>	none	12/13 (92.3%)	12/14 (85.7%)	RR 1.08 (0.83 to 1.40)	69 more per 1.000 (from 146 fewer to 343 more)	⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL
Proportio		chieving he	emostasis at 5 mir	1			(92.3%)	(85.7%)	1 -		(from 146 fewer to	(from 146 fewer to

1 Proporti	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious mostasis at 10 mi	not serious	serious <sup>d</sup>	none	12/13 (92.3%)	12/14 (85.7%)	RR 1.08 (0.83 to 1.40)	69 more per 1.000 (from 146 fewer to 343 more)	⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>d</sup>	none	13/13 (100.0%)	13/14 (92.9%)	RR 1.07 (0.88 to 1.30)	65 more per 1.000 (from 111 fewer to 279 more)	⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL
Proporti 1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	very seriouse	none	2/13 (15.4%)	5/15 (33.3%)	RR 0.46 (0.11 to 1.99)	180 fewer per 1.000 (from 297 fewer to 330 more)	⊕○○○ Very low	CRITICAL
Quality of	of life - not meas	sured	1	I	1	I	_1	I	1	1	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

### **Explanations**

- a. Firmino 2023: open label study
- b. Optimal information size not reached; unclear from article if the reported data are means or medians
- c. Reported numbers and proportions do not match total number of patients
- d. CI around RR includes 1.25
- e. CI around RR includes 0.75 and 1.25

Richtlijn Oncologische Ulcera – 10-09-2024

### Onderzoeksvraag 3

Om de uitgangsvraag van deze module te kunnen beantwoorden, is een systematisch literatuuronderzoek uitgevoerd. De onderzoeksvraag die hiervoor is opgesteld is PICO-gestructureerd en luidt: Wat is het effect van systemische en lokale pijnstilling, radiotherapie en verbandmateriaal op pijn bij patiënten met een oncologische ulcus in de palliatieve fase?

#### Onderzoeksvraag

Р	Patiënten (≥ 18 jaar) met pijn bij oncologische ulcera in de palliatieve fase
I	Systemisch en lokale pijnstilling, radiotherapie, verbandmateriaal
С	Andere interventie, geen interventie, placebo
0	Pijn, kwaliteit van leven

## Systematische reviews

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Adderley 2014	Design: systematic review Funding: National Institue for health Research; Col: none Search date: Aug 2013 Databases: Cochrane Wounds Group Specialised Register, Central, Medline, Embase, Cinahl Study designs: RCT's, CCTs N included studies: N=4	Eligibility criteria: people of any age, male and female, in any care setting, who had been clinically diagnosed with fungating wounds due to any type of carcinoma	Topical agents and dressings, or dressing systems, applied to fungating wounds	Pain: "No statistically significant difference was found between the patients treated with honey-coated dressings and those treated with silver-coated dressings"  Quality of life: not reported	Review process by two independent reviewers No search restrictions Relevant included studies: Lund-Nielsen 2011
Finlayson 2017	Design: systematic review Funding: not reported; Col: none Search date: Sep 2015 Databases: Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library, Cinahl Study designs: RCT's, pre/post studies N included studies: N=5	Eligibility criteria: participants who were diagnosed with cancer and a malignant wound (fungating, infiltrative, ulcerating) not related to surgery or radiation therapy  Exclusion: systematic reviews, clinical guidelines, case series, and case reports	Topical analgesics with or without additional inert substances for the management of pain and/or topical antimicrobials with or without additional odour-reducing topical agents for the prevention or management of infection and infection-related odours	Pain: no significant differences between groups at baseline or after the four-week intervention for any outcome Quality of life: not reported	Review process by two independent reviewers Restricted to English Relevant included studies: Lund-Nielsen 2011

#### Primaire studies

Study ID	Methods	Patient characteristics	Intervention	Results	Critical appraisal of study quality
Ciałkowska-Rysz 2019	Design: cross-over RCT Funding: none; Col: none Setting: single university centre, Poland Sample size: N=35 Duration: 14 days	Eligibility criteria: adult patients with localized cancer-related pain and treated with systemic opioids  Exclusion criteria: chemotherapy or radiotherapy in the past 1 month before the study; local skin or mucosa infection; local irritation or other side effects related to application of the gel A priori patient characteristics:  M/F: 13/22  Mean age: 61.6y	Topical morphine 0.2% gel on mucosal lesions or 0.2% ointment on skin lesions (without restrictions regarding the number of doses per day) (N=35)  vs.  Placebo (N=35)	Pain:  Mean pain intensity (NRS 0-10):  Day 7: 2.5 (95%Cl 1.6-3.3) vs. 4.6 (3.3-5.9),  p<0.0001  Day 14: 2.5 (1.6-3.4) vs. 5.2 (4.4-6.1),  p<0.0001  Pain relief (% change from baseline in pain intensity): 57% vs. 16%, p=0.0000004  At least 50% pain relief at day 7: 14/17 vs. 3/18  Quality of life: not reported	Level of evidence: unclear risk of bias  Computer generated random sequence Unclear allocation concealment Double-blind, but unclear who was blinded Unclear ITT-analysis Cross-over after 7 days: morphine first N=17, placebo first N=18
Peng 2019	Design: RCT Funding: Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province of China (No. 2017CFB625); Col: not reported Setting: tertiary hospital, China Sample size: N=60 Duration: recruitment Jun 2015 – Dec 2017	Eligibility criteria: patients with cancer wound pain  A priori patient characteristics:  M/F: 24/36  Mean age: 51.4y	Morphine hydrochloride 10 mg po, 10' before dressing change (N=30)  vs.  5% compound lidocaine cream (lidocaine 2.5%, prilocaine 2.5%) 1.5g/10 cm², 10' before dressing change (N=30)	Pain: VAS: significantly higher scores in morphine group after 20 and 25' Quality of life: Kolcaba comfort scale; significantly higher scores in lidocaine group	Level of evidence: high risk of bias  Unclear randomization method, both pseudo-randomisation suspected ("Patients were randomly divided into two groups in chronological order") Unclear allocation concealment, blinding and ITT analysis Pain and comfort data only reported as a figure, no raw data

Abbreviations: 95%CI: 95% confidence interval; CoI: conflict of interest; NRS: numeric rating scale; po: per os; RCT: randomised controlled trial; VAS: visual analogue scale.

#### References

Adderley UJ, Holt IG. Topical agents and dressings for fungating wounds. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014(5):CD003948.

Ciałkowska-Rysz, A., Dzierżanowski, T. (2019). Topical morphine for treatment of cancer-related painful mucosal and cutaneous lesions: A double-blind, placebo-controlled cross-over clinical trial. Archives of Medical Science, 15(1), 146–151.

Finlayson K, Teleni L, McCarthy AL. Topical Opioids and Antimicrobials for the Management of Pain, Infection, and Infection-Related Odors in Malignant Wounds: A Systematic Review. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2017;44(5):626-32.

Lund-Nielsen B, Adamsen L, Kolmos HJ, Rorth M, Tolver A, Gottrup F. The effect of honey-coated bandages compared with silver-coated bandages on treatment of malignant wounds - a randomized study. Wound Repair and Regeneration 2011;19(6):664-70.

Peng L, Zheng HY, Dai Y. Local dermal application of a compound lidocaine cream in pain management of cancer wounds. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2019;52(11):e8567.

#### **GRADE** tabellen – Geïncludeerde studies

**Author(s):** Lund-Nielsen B, Adamsen L, Kolmos HJ, Rorth M, Tolver A, Gottrup F. The effect of honey-coated bandages compared with silver-coated bandages on treatment of malignant wounds - a randomized study. Wound Repair and Regeneration 2011;19(6):664-70.

Question: Manuka honey-coated dressings compared to silver-coated dressings in patients with advanced stage cancer and malignant wounds

Setting:

#### Bibliography:

Certainty	assessment						№ of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance	
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	manuka honey- coated dressings	silver- coated dressings	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)			
Pain (VAS	Pain (VAS 100 mm)												
1	1 randomised serious <sup>a</sup> not serious not serious very serious <sup>b</sup> none No significant difference between groups, p= 0.733 ⊕○○○ CRITICAL Very low										CRITICAL		
Quality of	Quality of life - not measured												
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL	

CI: confidence interval Explanations

a. Lund-Nielsen 2011: unclear allocation concealment and blinding

b. No quantification of precision possible

Author(s): Peng L, Zheng HY, Dai Y. Local dermal application of a compound lidocaine cream in pain management of cancer wounds. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2019;52(11):e8567.

Question: Oral morphine compared to topical lidocaine in patients with cancer wound pain

Setting:

#### Bibliography:

Certainty assessment								№ of patients		Effect		Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	oral morphine	topical lidocaine	Relative Absolute (95% CI)			
Pain (VAS	S)											
1	randomised trials	very serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>b</sup>	none	Significantly higher scores in morphine group after 20 and 25' (p<0.01)				⊕○○○ Very low	CRITICAL
Kolcaba	comfort scale				<u> </u>	<u> </u>					L	I
1	randomised trials	very serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>b</sup>	none	Significantly higher scores in lidocaine group (p<0.01)			⊕○○○ Very low	CRITICAL	

CI: confidence interval Explanations

## a. Peng 2019: pseudo-RCT, unclear methodology

b. Only reported in a figure (no raw data)

Author(s): Ciałkowska-Rysz, A., Dzierżanowski, T. (2019). Topical morphine for treatment of cancer-related painful mucosal and cutaneous lesions: A double-blind, placebo-controlled cross-over clinical trial. Archives of Medical Science, 15(1), 146–151.

Question: Topical morphine compared to placebo in patients with cancer-related painful mucosal and cutaneous lesions

Setting:

Certainty assessment								№ of patients		Effect		Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	topical morphine	placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	_	
Mean pai	n intensity (NR	S 0-10): day	7									
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>b</sup>	none	2.5 (95%CI	1.6-3.3) vs. 4	.6 (3.3-5.9),	⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL	
Mean pai	n intensity (NR	S 0-10): day	14		ı							
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>b</sup>	none	2.5 (1.6-3.4) vs. 5.2 (4.4-6.1), p<0.0001				⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL
Pain relie	f: % change fro	m baseline	in pain intensity		1						1	
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	none	57% vs. 16%, p=0.0000004				⊕⊕○○ Low	CRITICAL
At least 5	0% pain relief a	nt day 7			1						1	
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	14/17 (82.4%)	3/18 (16.7%)	RR 4.94 (1.72 to 14.21)	657 more per 1.000 (from 120 more to 1.000 more)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	CRITICAL

Quality of	Quality of life - not measured											
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRITICAL

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

- a. Ciałkowska-Rysz 2019: unclear allocation concealment and ITT analysis
- b. CI around estimated SMD includes -0.5
- c. No quantification of difference (no CI around MD)